



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,034	10/30/2003	Peter Rabinovitch	ALC 3415	6028
76614	7590	07/17/2009	EXAMINER	
Kramer & Amado, P.C. 1725 Duke Street Suite 240 Alexandria, VA 22314		JAKOVAC, RYAN J		
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2445		
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		07/17/2009		PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/696,034	RABINOVITCH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	RYAN J. JAKOVAC	2445	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) RYAN J. JAKOVAC. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) PATRICK WAMSLEY (REG. 59241). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 06 July 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: SHAY.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner has agreed to withdraw the 101 rejection upon reception of an amended claim set reciting the network management system as indicated in the proposed amendments. The prior art, Shay, was discussed with regards the applicant's proposed amendments. No agreement was reached regarding patentability.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/VIVEK SRIVASTAVA/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2445
--	--